

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/967,184	HEIKKILA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Traviss C. McIntosh (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Michele Cimbala (Applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2005
Time: 12:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed claim amendments for claim 1 wherein the attached claim language as set forth in the examiner's amendment was agreed upon to be acceptable, and applicant's also authorized the attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)